

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATEN	ITS
P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
www.uspto.gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,576	03/01/2002	Richard P. Mangold	884.622US1	3907
759	90 07/20/2006		EXAM	INER
Crystal D. Sayles		DADA, BEEMNET W		
c/o BLAKELY,	SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR	& ZAFMAN LLP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Seventh Floor	Doulevaru		L	TATER NOMBER
Los Angeles, C	A 90025		2135	
	,0020		DATE MAILED: 07/20/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. **	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/087,576	MANGOLD ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Beemnet W. Dada	2135			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 06 July 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comprollowing time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evidence, whi compliance with 37 CFR 41.3	ich 1; or		
 a)		e final rejection, whichever is later	In no		
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension fee undefinal Office action; or (2) as set fort	er 37 h in (b)		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in come of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimate a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))), to avoid dismissal of the app			
<u> </u>	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered because			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for					
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		omnliant Amendment (PTOL-	324)		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			JZ7).		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling					
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-25</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails to pro See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attached.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.			ause:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant argued that Gray fails to teach replacing non-compliant data with complaint data. Examiner disagrees. Examiner would point out that Gray teaches replacing / changing the KSB value to a 1 or 0 [column 5, lines 7- 34]. Therefore, Gray teaches the claim limitations, the data stream is decodable by a compliant decoder, after the non-compliant data is replaced with compliant data (i.e., decrypting data with the key, which is changed when the synchronization bit changes) [column 5, lines 23-35]. With respect to claims 20-25, the amendement to the claims has overcome the 35 USC 101 rejections of claims 20-25, however claims 20-25, remain rejected under 35 USC 103, over Gray et al, with the same reason discussed in the final office action mailed 05/16/2006.

KIM VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100